

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
29 November 2001 (29.11.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/90474 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: D06L 1/02
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/EP01/05310
- (22) International Filing Date: 10 May 2001 (10.05.2001)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
469/MUM/2000 23 May 2000 (23.05.2000) IN
0018286.5 25 July 2000 (25.07.2000) GB
- (71) Applicant (for AE, AG, AU, BB, BZ, CA, CY, GB, GD, GH, GM, IE, IL, KE, LC, LK, LS, MN, MW, NZ, SD, SG, SL, SZ, TT, TZ, UG, ZA, ZW only): UNILEVER PLC [GB/GB]; Unilever House, Blackfriars, London EC4P 4BQ (GB).
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except AE, AG, AU, BB, BZ, CA, CY, GB, GD, GH, GM, IE, IL, IN, KE, LC, LK, LS, MN, MW, NZ, SD, SG, SL, SZ, TT, TZ, UG, ZA, ZW): UNILEVER NV [NL/NL]; Weena 455, NL-3013 AL Rotterdam (NL).
- (71) Applicant (for IN only): HINDUSTAN LEVER LIMITED [IN/IN]; Hindustan Lever House, 165/166 Backbay Reclamation, Mumbai 400 202, Maharashtra (IN).
- (72) Inventor: BARGAJE, Vijaya, Milind; Hindustan Lever Research Centre, 64 Main Road, Whitefield P.O., Bangalore 560 066, Karnataka (IN).
- (74) Agent: KAN, Jacob Hendrik; Unilever Nv, Patent Department, P.O. Box 137, NL-3133 AC Vlaardingen (NL).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:**
— with international search report
— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.



WO 01/90474 A1

(54) Title: PROCESS FOR CLEANING FABRICS

(57) Abstract: A process for cleaning textile fabric without the need for conventional detergent systems comprising agitating the fabric in a composition comprising at least two immiscible liquids, for example, perchloroethylene or petroleum ether and water, having at least one liquid-liquid interface with an interfacial tension of at least 5 mN/m, preferably at least 10 mN/m, and where the concentration of the most polar liquid in the composition is from 10 to 90 % by volume.

- 1 -

PROCESS FOR CLEANING FABRICSTECHNICAL FIELD

5 The invention relates to a method or a process of cleaning
textile fabric without using, or using very much reduced
levels of, conventional detergent surfactants.

BACKGROUND AND PRIOR ART

10

Conventionally fabric is cleaned using water and a detergent
composition, which is known as wet cleaning. Surfactants in
the detergent adsorb on both fabric and soil and thereby
reduce the respective interfacial energies and this

15 facilitates removal of soil from the fabric.

Alternatively it is done by a process called dry cleaning
where organic non-polar solvents are used, generally aided
by a surfactant. During dry cleaning, when a surfactant is
20 used, a maximum of about 10% of water is also used along
with the solvent system in order to facilitate the removal
of water soluble stains. In dry cleaning, soil removal can
be achieved by a small reduction in interfacial tension.
The organic solvent helps in removal of oily soil in the
25 presence of detergents and the particulate soil is largely
removed by providing agitation.

Regardless of the type of solvent used, which may be water
or an organic solvent, agitation of garments in the cleaning
30 medium is essential to accelerate the removal of soluble
soil or insoluble, particulate soil.

- 2 -

US 4115061 (Henkel) discloses a method of cleaning using a combination of an organic solvent and a concentrated aqueous detergent solution for cleaning soiled textiles.

5 US 4378968 (Chloe Chimie) discloses a process for reducing
soil redeposition onto textiles in order to limit the
phenomenon of 'greying' of the textiles by incorporating at
least one primary or secondary alcohol as an anti
redemption adjuvant into the perchloroethylene solvent
10 during dry cleaning.

GB 1493619, GB 1470332 and GB 1312284 (PPG Industries)
disclose a method of treating fabrics in a two-phase liquid
comprising a conventional drycleaning liquid as a major
15 portion and a hydrogen peroxide bleaching solution as a
minor portion. Typically the peroxide solution is present
at less than 10 wt% of the drycleaning liquid (approximately
9 wt% of the two-phase liquid) and preferably less than
5 wt% of the drycleaning fluid (approximately 4.75 wt% of
20 the two-phase liquid).

EP0075546A (Berol Kemi) discloses a water-in-
perchloroethylene microemulsion containing 2-6 wt%
emulsifier (surfactant) and 0.2-4 wt% solubilising agent
25 included in order to reduce interfacial tensions to
stabilise the emulsion thus formed.

WO97/19164A (Colgate-Palmolive) discloses a liquid fabric
washing composition in three phases which comprises, among
30 other ingredients, 1 to 15 wt% of non-polar liquid, 55 to
95wt% of a polar solvent, preferably water, and 1 to 23 wt%

- 3 -

of a low molecular weight amphiphilic compound. The amphiphilic compound reduces the interfacial tensions to less than 10^{-3} mN/m to enable a single continuous phase to be formed with minimal mechanical agitation.

5

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present applicants have now found that cleaning fabric in a composition comprising at least two immiscible liquids, while providing agitation, results in superior cleaning as compared to conventional laundering methods.

DEFINITION OF THE INVENTION

Thus according to the present invention there is provided a process of cleaning fabric comprising agitating the fabric in a composition comprising at least two liquids, having at least one liquid-liquid interface with an interfacial tension of at least 5 mN/m, wherein the concentration of the most polar liquid in the composition is from 10 to 90% by volume.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The process of the invention utilises a composition for cleaning fabric, comprising at least two immiscible liquids with a high interfacial tension.

Preferably the interfacial tension (IFT) of at least one liquid-liquid interface in the composition is at least

30

- 4 -

5 mN/m, preferably at least 8 mN/m, and more preferably at least 10 mN/m. Suitably the interfacial tension is at least 15 mN/m, advantageously at least 20 mN/m and desirably at least 35 mN/m. Interfacial tension may be measured using various techniques, such as sessile drop, pendant drop, spinning drop, drop volume or Wilhelmy plate method. For the purposes of the present invention, interfacial tension is measured by the Wilhelmy plate method, using a Kruss Processor Tensiometer K12, at 25°C.

10

For some systems, the interfacial tension may change whilst undergoing shearing forces typically encountered in a wash process. It is customary to refer to the interfacial tension under these conditions as a "dynamic interfacial tension" (DIFT) and may be measured by a maximum bubble pressure technique.

15

Preferably the amount of the most polar liquid in the composition is from 10 to 90% by volume, preferably from 25 to 90%, more preferably from 40 to 90% and most preferably from 60 to 90%. Preferably the most polar liquid is water and preferably the least polar liquid is petroleum ether, cyclohexane, perchloroethylene or mixtures thereof.

20

Preferably the agitation time is at least 5 minutes, more preferably at least 15 minutes and most preferably at least 60 minutes.

25

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a fatty acid or fatty amine with a carbon chain length of C₁₂ to C₂₂ maybe added to the composition.

30

- 5 -

In another preferred embodiment of the present invention, builders may be added to the composition.

In still another preferred embodiment of the present invention, mineral salts may be added to the composition.

It is possible to incorporate other conventional detergent ingredients such as anti-redeposition agents, soil release polymers, hydrotropes, enzymes, bleaches, fluorescers and perfumes in the composition. However, it is preferred that the composition is free of hydrogen peroxide or water soluble hypochlorites and is more preferably free of bleaching compounds.

In principle, limited amounts of surfactant may be present provided that the interfacial tension is not reduced below 5 mN/m, preferably not below 10 mN/m. However, the composition is preferably free of surfactant.

The invention will now be described in greater detail with reference to immiscible liquid compositions for cleaning fabric.

Liquid Components

25

More polar liquid components that may be used include water, alcohols, ethers, glycol ethers, ketones, phenols, aldehydes, organic sulphur compounds and nitrogen-containing compounds such as nitrates or nitriles. Less polar liquids which may be used include esters, hydrocarbons, paraffins, aromatic solvents, halogenated solvents, heterocyclic

- 6 -

solvents, terpenes, mineral oils and silicone oils.

Mixtures of any of these can be used wherein at least one liquid-liquid interface exists and the interfacial tension is at least 5 mN/m, preferably at least 10 mN/m.

- 5 Preferably only two liquids are used. As previously indicated, water is a preferred polar liquid, and the less polar liquid is preferably a hydrocarbon, more preferably petroleum ether or cyclohexane or mixtures thereof, or a halogenated solvent, more preferably perchloroethylene.

10

The liquid components can be recovered and reused after the cleaning operation.

Fatty Acids and Amines

15

- As previously indicated, fatty acids and fatty amines may be incorporated in the liquid composition as optional ingredients, and may be selected from any one or more with carbon chain length ranging from C₁₂ to C₂₂, and preferably with a chain length of C₁₈ to C₂₂. It has been observed that the energy required for agitation may be reduced when fatty acid or amines are incorporated.

20

Builders

25

- The builders which may be used in the formulation as optional ingredients are preferably inorganic. Suitable builders include, for example, ethylene diamine tetraacetate (EDTA), diethylene triamine pentaacetate (DTPA), sodium tripolyphosphate (STPP), alkali metal aluminosilicates

30

- 7 -

(zeolites), alkali metal carbonate, tetrasodium pyrophosphate (TSPP), citrates, sodium nitrilotriacetate (NTA), and combinations of these. Builders are suitably used in an amount ranging from 0.01-1% by weight.

5

Salts

The salts which may be used in the formulation as optional ingredients are preferably mineral salts produced by the neutralisation of a mineral acid. Suitable salts include sodium chloride, potassium chloride, lithium chloride, sodium carbonate. Salts may be present at any suitable level up to and including the point where the liquid components are saturated.

15

Agitation

Agitation may be provided by any suitable means provided for domestic laundering or industrial laundering. The invention is especially suitable for industrial laundering. It is required that thorough mixing of the separate liquid phases is effected and maintained. For example, impellers that provide a vertical flow profile or a radial flow profile can be used. Agitation may also be provided by a rotation and/or tumbling action.

25

Other forms of vigorous agitation known in the art, for example gas jets or ultra-sound, can also be employed.

- 8 -

EXAMPLES

The invention is further illustrated by the following non-limiting examples, in which parts and percentages are by weight unless otherwise stated.

Examples 1 - 3, Comparative Examples A to E**Detergency Evaluation**

10

Reflectance was determined by a Macbeth Colour-eye 7000A reflectometer.

15

White cotton fabric of reflectance (R460) of 80 was pre-soiled with a mixture of particulate and oily soil such that the fabric reflectance was about 55 at 460 nm wavelength. Test cloths prepared from the fabric were cleaned by three different methods a) dry cleaning b) wet cleaning and c) method of the invention.

20

Comparative Example A

200 ml of petroleum ether was added to a flask. Test cloths were added to the flask. The cloth:liquor ratio was maintained at 1:20. The flask was shaken vigorously for a period of 10 minutes. The test cloths were removed, dried and the reflectance was measured.

The experiment was repeated by agitating the fabric and solvent for a period of 180 minutes.

30

- 9 -

Comparative Example B

An experiment according to Comparative Example A was carried out. Petroleum ether was replaced by cyclohexane.

5

Comparative Example C

An experiment according to Comparative Example A was carried out. Petroleum ether was replaced by water.

10

Comparative Example D

A solution of a conventional detergent formulation (as given in Table 1) of concentration 2.5 g/l was prepared. 200 ml of the solution was added to a flask and the test cloths were introduced into the solution. The cloth:liquor ratio was maintained at 1:20. The test cloths were washed for a period of 10 minutes by shaking the flask vigorously. The test cloths were then removed, rinsed in water and dried. The reflectance of the fabrics was then measured.

20

The experiment was repeated by agitating the fabric and the detergent solution for a period of 180 minutes.

25 Comparative Example E

An experiment according to Comparative Example D was carried out where the solution of a conventional detergent formulation (as given in Table 1) had a concentration of 5.0 g/l. The cloth:liquor ratio was maintained at 1:50.

30

Table 1

Composition	Concentration (%wt)
Na linear alkylbenzene sulphonate	25
Nonionic surfactant C ₁₂ E07	0.5
Nonionic surfactant C ₁₂ E03	1.5
Soda ash	23
Sodium tripolyphosphate	32
Silica	5.9
Water	To 100

5 Example 1

200 ml of a mixture of petroleum ether and water in a 1:1 ratio by volume was added to a flask. The interfacial tension was measured on a Kruss Tensiometer at 25°C. The

10 interfacial tension (IFT) of the petroleum ether - water interface was 48.2 mN/m. The dynamic interfacial tension (DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure method was 49.2 mN/m. Test cloths were then introduced in the flask. The cloth:liquor ratio was maintained at 1:20. The flask was

15 then shaken vigorously and the test cloths were allowed to move continuously across the liquid-liquid interface. The test cloths were removed and dried and their reflectance was then measured.

- 11 -

Example 2

A 1:4 by volume mixture of petroleum ether and water was used in the experiment. The interfacial tension (IFT) of the petroleum ether-water interface was 48.2 mN/m. The dynamic interfacial tension (DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure method was 49.1 mN/m. The procedure given in Example 1 was followed for this experiment.

10 Example 3

A 1:1 by volume mixture of perchloroethylene and water was used in the experiment. The interfacial tension (IFT) of the perchloroethylene-water interface was 45.1 mN/m. The dynamic interfacial tension (DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure method was 46.1 mN/m. The procedure given in Example 1 was followed for this experiment.

The results are presented in Table 2.

20

- 12 -

Table 2

Washing system	Reflectance after 10 min.	Reflectance after 180 min.
Comparative Example A	57	57
Comparative Example B	57	57
Comparative Example C	58	63
Example 1	68	78
Example 2	68	78
Example 3	65	76
Comparative Example D	63	64
Comparative Example E	63	67

The data presented in Table 2 clearly shows that a
5 significant improvement in detergency can be obtained using
the method of the invention. The reflectance can be
maintained close to that of the original test cloths.

Comparative Examples F to H and Example 4

10

Detergency Evaluation of Consumer Garments

Cotton vests with a starting reflectance of 80 were given to
a panel of 6 members. The reflectance of the garments after
15 use averaged 55. Test cloths were prepared from the garments
after use and washed by a) wet cleaning b) dry cleaning and
c) the method of the invention. The reflectance was measured
using Macbeth Colour-eye 7000A reflectometer.

- 13 -

Comparative Example F

The soiled test cloths were soaked in the detergent solution (2.5 g/l) of Comparative Example D and then washed for 5 15 minutes. The test cloths were then rinsed and dried and the reflectance was measured.

Comparative Example G

10 The soiled test cloths were boiled in the detergent solution (2.5 g/l) of Comparative Example D, at 100°C for 30 minutes. The test cloths were then removed, rinsed and dried and the reflectance measured.

15 Comparative Example H

The soiled test cloths were added to petroleum ether maintaining a cloth:liquor ratio of 1:20 and agitated for 30 minutes. The test cloths were then removed, dried and 20 the reflectance measured.

Example 4

The soiled test cloths were added to a mixture of petroleum 25 ether and water, in a 1:1 by volume ratio and agitated manually for 10 minutes, ensuring that the test cloths move across the liquid-liquid interface. The test cloths were then removed, dried and the reflectance measured.

30 For all examples, the damage caused to the fabric was determined. Results are presented in Table 3.

- 14 -

Table 3

	Reflectance (after 15 cycles)	Damage to fabric
Comparative Example F	55	Low
Comparative Example G	74	High
Comparative Example H	55	Low
Example 4	78	Low

5 The data presented in Table 3 shows that this invention can maintain reflectance of a garment very close to the reflectance of a new garment, with minimal or no damage to it. Also it is clear that the cleaning is faster as compared to the comparative methods.

10

Examples 5 to 8Detergency Evaluation with Additives

15 White cotton fabric of reflectance 80 was pre-soiled with a mixture of particulate and oily soil such that the fabric reflectance was about 55 at 460 nm wavelength. Test cloths were prepared from the fabric. Stearic acid, STPP, sodium chloride and potassium chloride were added to a 1:1 mixture
20 of petroleum ether and water and the effect on cleaning of the test cloths was determined.

- 15 -

Example 5

0.028g of stearic acid was added to 100 ml of water which was then blended with 100 ml of petroleum ether, providing
5 approximately a 1:1 mixture of petroleum ether and stearic acid solution, and was added to a flask. The interfacial
tension (IFT) of the mixture, as recorded on a Kruss
Tensiometer at 25°C was 33.0 mN/m. The dynamic interfacial
tension (DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure
10 method was 39.4 mN/m. Test cloths were then introduced in the flask. The cloth:liquor ratio was maintained at 1:20. The flask was then shaken vigorously and the test cloths were allowed to move continuously across the liquid-liquid interface. The test cloths were removed and dried and their
15 reflectance was then measured.

Example 6

0.5g of STPP was added to 100 ml of water which was then
20 blended with 100 ml of petroleum ether, providing approximately a 1:1 mixture of petroleum ether and STPP solution, and was added to a flask. The interfacial tension (IFT) of the mixture was 39.6 mN/m. The dynamic interfacial tension (DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure
25 method was 41.3 mN/m. The procedure as given in Example 5 was followed to determine detergency.

Example 7

30 20g of sodium chloride was added to 100 ml of water which was then blended with 100 ml of petroleum ether, providing

- 16 -

approximately a 1:1 mixture of petroleum ether and sodium chloride solution, and was added to a flask. The interfacial tension (IFT) of the mixture was 52.3 mN/m. The dynamic interfacial tension (DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure method was 53.2 mN/m. The procedure as given in Example 5 was followed to determine detergency.

Example 8

10 15 g of potassium chloride was added to 100 ml of water which was then blended with 100 ml of petroleum ether, providing approximately a 1:1 mixture of petroleum ether and potassium chloride solution, and was added to a flask. The interfacial tension (IFT) of the mixture was 53.1 mN/m. The dynamic
15 interfacial tension (DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure method was 53.2 mN/m. The procedure as given in Example 5 was followed to determine detergency.

The data resulting from addition of stearic acid, STPP, sodium chloride and potassium chloride to the solvent mixture
20 together with data for the additive-free example 1 are presented in Table 4 and Table 5.

Table 4

25

	1	5	6	7	8
Wash time (mins)	15	15	15	15	-
Reflectance	67	71	70	71	-

- 17 -

Table 5

	1	5	6	7	8
Wash time (mins)	180	140	145	120	120
Reflectance	78	78	77	78	78

- 5 The addition of fatty acid and builder to the solvent mixture results in a further improvement in detergency. The addition of sodium chloride or potassium chloride increases the value of the IFT and results in a further improvement in detergency. Alternatively the wash time may be reduced for
- 10 the same given level of detergency using these additives.

Examples 9 and 10Examples of Product Formulations

15

Two formulations were made up in order to determine the effect of the many additives typical of a detergent wash formulation on the values of the interfacial tension (IFT) and the dynamic interfacial tension (DIFT).

20

Example 9

- The additives listed below in Table 6 were dissolved into 240 ml of water which was subsequently blended with 60 ml of
- 25 perchloroethylene. The interfacial tension (IFT) of the mixture was 10.0 mN/m. The dynamic interfacial tension

- 18 -

(DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure method was 33.1 mN/m.

Example 10

5

The additives listed below in Table 6 were dissolved into

240ml of water which was subsequently blended with 60 ml of petroleum ether. The interfacial tension (IFT) of the mixture was 10.0 mN/m. The dynamic interfacial tension

10 (DIFT) as measured by the maximum bubble pressure method was 37.6 mN/m.

Reflectance values as measured by the method of example 1, were 70 after 15 minutes and 78 after 180 minutes.

- 19 -

Table 6

Additive	Amount added, g
Sodium carbonate	0.146
STPP	0.192
Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose	0.012
Sodium sulphate	0.003
Precipitated Silica	0.0276
Tinopal* CBSX (fluorescer)	0.0006
Laundrosil* PRT2 (photobleach)	0.012
Perfume	0.003
Enzymes (Savinase* + Lipolase*)	0.0036
Sokolan* CP-5 (acrylate/maleate copolymer)	0.006
Orange-coloured sodium carbonate speckles	0.006
Moisture	0.0242

5 * Trade Mark

Table 7 summarises data from some examples above together with further examples and lists the values of both the interfacial tension (IFT) and the dynamic interfacial tension

10 (DIFT).

- 20 -

Table 7

Example	Solvent	Solvent/ Water ratio	Additive	IFT	DIFT
1	Pet. Ether	1:1	-	48.2	49.2
2	Pet. Ether	1:4	-	48.2	49.1
3	Perchloro- ethylene	1:1	-	45.1	46.1
5	Pet. Ether	1:1	Stearic Acid	33.0	39.4
6	Pet. Ether	1:1	STP	39.6	41.3
7	Pet. Ether	1:1	Sodium chloride	52.3	53.2
8	Pet. Ether	1:1	Potassium chloride	53.1	53.2
9	Perchloro- ethylene	1:4	See previously	10.0	33.1
10	Pet. Ether	1:4	See previously	10.0	37.6
11	Pet. Ether	1:4	Stearic acid	33.4	40.1
12	Pet. Ether	1:4	STP	38.3	43.2

- 21 -

CLAIMS

1. A process of cleaning fabric comprising agitating the fabric in a composition comprising at least two liquids, characterised in that it has at least one liquid-liquid interface with an interfacial tension of at least 5 mN/m, wherein the concentration of the most polar liquid in the composition is from 10 to 90% by volume.
2. A process according to claim 1, characterised in that at least one liquid-liquid interface has an interfacial tension of at least 8 mN/m.
3. A process according to claim 2, characterised in that at least one liquid-liquid interface has an interfacial tension of at least 10 mN/m.
4. A process according to claim 3, characterised in that at least one liquid-liquid interface has an interfacial tension of at least 15 mN/m.
5. A process according to claim 4, characterised in that at least one liquid-liquid interface has an interfacial tension of at least 20 mN/m.
6. A process according to claim 5, characterised in that at least one liquid-liquid interface has an interfacial tension of at least 35 mN/m.

30

- 22 -

7. A process according to any preceding claim,
characterised in that the concentration of the most
polar liquid is from 25 to 90% by volume.
- 5 8. A process according to claim 7, characterised in that
the concentration of the most polar liquid is from 40
to 90% by volume.
-
9. A process according to claim 8, characterised in that
10 the concentration of the most polar liquid is from 60
to 90% by volume.
10. A process according to any preceding claim,
characterised in that the most polar liquid is water.
- 15 11. A process according to any preceding claim,
characterised in that the composition comprises as a
less polar liquid petroleum ether, cyclohexane, or
mixtures thereof.
- 20 12. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 10,
characterised in that the composition comprises as a
less polar liquid a halogenated solvent, preferably
perchloroethylene.
- 25 13. A process according to any preceding claim,
characterised in that the time of agitation is at least
5 minutes.
- 30 14. A process according to claim 13, characterised in that
the time of agitation is at least 15 minutes.

- 23 -

15. A process according to claim 14, characterised in that the time of agitation is at least 60 minutes.
- 5 16. A process according to any preceding claim, characterised in that it is carried out in the presence of a compound selected from fatty acids and fatty amines having a carbon chain length of C₁₂ to C₂₂.
-
- 10 17. A process according to any preceding claim, characterised in that it is carried out in the presence of a builder.
- 15 18. A process according to any preceding claim, characterised in that it is carried out in the presence of a mineral salt.
- 20 19. A process according to any preceding claim, characterised in that it is carried out in the absence of hydrogen peroxide.
20. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 18, characterised in that it is carried out in the absence of a water soluble hypochlorite.
- 25 21. A process according to any preceding claim, characterised in that it is carried out in the absence of any bleaching compounds.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/EP 01/05310

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 D06L1/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 D06L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 82 02218 A (ELECTROLUX AB) 8 July 1982 (1982-07-08)	1-7, 10, 12-15, 19-21
Y	page 4; claims 1-9	1-10, 12-14, 16, 18
Y	US 5 238 587 A (SMITH JAMES A ET AL) 24 August 1993 (1993-08-24) claims 1-12, 17, 19, 21, 21 column 4, line 52 -column 5, line 47	1-10, 12-14, 16, 18
X	US 4 176 080 A (WISE ET AL) 27 November 1979 (1979-11-27) column 4, line 20 -column 5, line 20	1-10, 17, 19-21
A	claims -/-	11, 12

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

Z document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

3 October 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

10/10/2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Serbetsoglou, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 01/05310

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	GB 1 545 188 A (SOLVAY) 2 May 1979 (1979-05-02) claims	1-8,10, 12,19-21
X	EP 0 075 546 A (BEROL KEMI AB) 30 March 1983 (1983-03-30) cited in the application claims 1-4,9	1-8,10, 12,19-21
X	US 4 115 061 A (GRÜNEWÄLDER WERNER) 19 September 1978 (1978-09-19) cited in the application column 1, line 64 - column 2, line 61 claims	1-7,10, 12,17
X	US 4 045 174 A (FÜHRING HEINRICH ET AL) 30 August 1977 (1977-08-30) claims	1-10,12
X	GB 922 270 A (HOECHST AG) 27 March 1963 (1963-03-27) page 2, line 57 - line 64; claims	1-10,12
X	US 5 634 947 A (KAZAMA KEN) 3 June 1997 (1997-06-03) column 2, line 25 - line 38; claims	1-8,10, 13,14
A	WO 97 35061 A (R R STREET & CO INC) 25 September 1997 (1997-09-25) claims 1-4,12; table 1	1,10,12
A	US 4 378 968 A (PEIGNIER MICHEL ET AL) 5 April 1983 (1983-04-05) cited in the application claims	1-6,10, 12
A	US 5 112 358 A (DEAL III JAMES F) 12 May 1992 (1992-05-12) claims	1-10,18

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.2

Present claims 1-6 relate to compounds (solvents) defined (inter alia) by reference to the following parameter :

P1 : interfacial tension ($P1 \geq 5 \text{ mN/m}$) .

The use of this parameter in the present context is considered to lead to a lack of clarity within the meaning of Article 6 PCT. It is impossible to compare the parameter the applicant has chosen to employ with what is set out in the prior art. The lack of clarity is such as to render a meaningful complete search impossible.

Consequently, the search has been restricted to those solvents which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed in the description (page 5, line 25 through page 6, line 9) , in the examples and in the claims 10-12.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 01/05310

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 8202218	A	08-07-1982	DE 3152654 T GB 2104117 A ,B WO 8202218 A1 SE 448003 B SE 8204662 A US 4650493 A	11-08-1983 02-03-1983 08-07-1982 12-01-1987 11-08-1982 17-03-1987
US 5238587	A	24-08-1993	CA 2135746 A1 DE 9321584 U1 EP 0640156 A1 JP 3171339 B2 JP 7509629 T WO 9323603 A1	25-11-1993 24-08-2000 01-03-1995 28-05-2001 26-10-1995 25-11-1993
US 4176080	A	27-11-1979	NONE	
GB 1545188	A	02-05-1979	LU 75166 A1 BE 855573 A1 DE 2723234 A1 FR 2355114 A1 JP 52152588 A	18-01-1978 12-12-1977 22-12-1977 13-01-1978 19-12-1977
EP 0075546	A	30-03-1983	SE 442217 B DE 3269645 D1 EP 0075546 A2 JP 58061194 A SE 8105555 A US 4659332 A	09-12-1985 10-04-1986 30-03-1983 12-04-1983 22-03-1983 21-04-1987
US 4115061	A	19-09-1978	DE 2603802 A1 BE 850909 A1 FR 2339696 A1 JP 1301579 C JP 52094305 A JP 60024221 B NL 7700265 A	04-08-1977 01-08-1977 26-08-1977 14-02-1986 08-08-1977 12-06-1985 04-08-1977
US 4045174	A	30-08-1977	DE 2401296 A1 FR 2257726 A1 GB 1500801 A JP 1236303 C JP 50132767 A JP 59006944 B	17-07-1975 08-08-1975 15-02-1978 17-10-1984 21-10-1975 15-02-1984
GB 922270	A	27-03-1963	BE 589361 A CH 390206 B CH 364481 B CH 370960 A DE 1097070 B FR 1263828 A NL 100824 C NL 250134 A	15-04-1965 30-09-1962 11-10-1961
US 5634947	A	03-06-1997	JP 2970933 B2 JP 4142398 A DE 69123683 D1 DE 69123683 T2 EP 0479146 A2	02-11-1999 15-05-1992 30-01-1997 28-05-1997 08-04-1992

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Int onal Application No

PCT/EP 01/05310

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5634947	A	ES 2097173 T3	01-04-1997
WO 9735061	A 25-09-1997	US 5876461 A AU 2332097 A BR 9708214 A CA 2249211 A1 CN 1218523 A EP 0888474 A1 JP 2000506943 T WO 9735061 A1	02-03-1999 10-10-1997 24-10-2000 25-09-1997 02-06-1999 07-01-1999 06-06-2000 25-09-1997
US 4378968	A 05-04-1983	AT 10120 T BR 8103901 A CA 1167610 A1 DE 3166932 D1 DK 270881 A ,B, EP 0042779 A1 IE 51833 B1 JP 1263928 C JP 57074400 A JP 59040879 B NO 812068 A ,B, PT 73217 A ,B	15-11-1984 09-03-1982 22-05-1984 06-12-1984 21-12-1981 30-12-1981 15-04-1987 16-05-1985 10-05-1982 03-10-1984 21-12-1981 01-07-1981
US 5112358	A 12-05-1992	NONE	

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)